Thermochimica Acta 471 (2008) 103-105

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Thermochimica Acta

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tca



# Thermal properties of alkaline sodium borohydride solutions

# Bin Hong Liu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Zhou Peng Li<sup>a</sup>, S. Suda<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> College of Material Science and Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China <sup>b</sup> Materials & Energy Research Institute Tokyo, Ltd., 1-1 Sawarabi-Daira, Tateshina-Chuokogen, Kitayama, Chino-shi, Nagano 391-0301, Japan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 January 2008 Received in revised form 11 March 2008 Accepted 17 March 2008 Available online 22 March 2008

Keywords: Sodium borohydride Hydrogen source Liquidus temperature Solubility Heat capacity

### ABSTRACT

Alkaline sodium borohydride solutions are potential hydrogen sources for fuel cells. Thermal properties of the NaBH<sub>4</sub>–NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O ternary system were measured by DSC and temperature-rise calorimetry. Liquidus temperatures showed that it is possible to store and use the solutions well below 0 °C if NaOH concentration is less than 20 wt%. The solubility of sodium borohydride was found to be large in these solutions, but decreased with increasing NaOH concentration. The optimum composition for alkaline borohydride solutions seems to be 15 wt% NaBH<sub>4</sub> in 10 wt% NaOH considering both the liquidus temperature and hydrogen storage density.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Sodium borohydride NaBH<sub>4</sub> is well known as a good reducing agent, and is attracting considerable attention as a potential hydrogen source for fuel cells due to the 10.6 wt% content of hydrogen [1-6]. NaBH<sub>4</sub> generates hydrogen by catalyzed hydrolysis:

$$NaBH_4 + 2H_2O = NaBO_2 + 4H_2, \tag{1}$$

and can be electrochemically oxidized in the direct borohydride fuel cell (DBFC):

$$BH_4^- + 8OH^- = BO_2^- + 6H_2O + 8e$$
 (2)

In both cases, aqueous sodium borohydride solutions are stabilized to avoid slow hydrolysis by added hydroxides, usually sodium hydroxide. Concentrations of sodium hydroxide in borohydride solutions for hydrogen generation are usually 1–10 wt%. Concentrations of sodium hydroxide in DBFC applications are usually much higher due to 8:1 OH<sup>-</sup> to BH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> in reaction (2). Liquidus temperatures and heat capacities of solutions are important in determining their storage and working temperatures. The solubility of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in alkaline solutions, which can be deduced from the liquidus line, determines the maximum hydrogen densities in these solutions. The hydrolysis reaction is an exothermic reaction with a large heat effect, so heat capacities of borohydride solutions are also important information for applications. However, there is little information available on these properties of borohydride solutions [10], especially for the NaBH<sub>4</sub>–NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O ternary system. Therefore, we investigated liquidus temperatures of the NaBH<sub>4</sub>–NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O system and measured heat capacity of solid NaBH<sub>4</sub> by DSC. Heat capacities of the solutions were obtained by temperature-rise calorimetry.

## 2. Experimental

Sodium borohydride was product of Rome and Haas Company, U.S.A. with a purity of 97%. Sodium hydroxide was commercially available A.R. grade. Borohydride solutions were prepared by dissolving NaBH<sub>4</sub> in previously prepared NaOH solutions.

DSC was done on Shimadzu DSC60. Alumina crucibles were used both for samples and references.  $\alpha$ -Alumina powder with a purity of more than 99.5% was used as the reference material. During DSC measurements, the alumina crucibles were covered with small nickel plates to avoid vaporization of the solutions. Nitrogen gas flowed at a rate of 50 ml min<sup>-1</sup> in the chamber. Liquid nitrogen was used as the cooling medium for low temperature measurements. At each measurement, the sample was first cooled to  $-100 \,^{\circ}$ C at 5 K min<sup>-1</sup> and then heated at 5 K min<sup>-1</sup>. DSC samples were 10–20 mg.

The DSC baseline usually started to deviate when the temperature went above room temperature due to increased vaporization of solution samples. Using sealed sample cells did not fully solve the problem. To compensate, visual observations of solid disappearance during sample heating were used to correct the DSC results above room temperature.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 571 87951770; fax: +86 571 87951770. *E-mail address*: liubh@zju.edu.cn (B.H. Liu).

<sup>0040-6031/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2008.03.009

The heat capacity of solid NaBH<sub>4</sub> was measured by DSC through the three-step method: the temperature was first held at 30 °C for 5 min and then heated at a rate of 5 K min<sup>-1</sup> to 80 °C and then held at 80 °C for another 5 min. This heating program was repeated for three times when the sample and reference crucible were in three different combinations: first both the sample and the reference crucibles were in empty, then  $\alpha$ -alumina as the standard material with known heat capacity was put in the reference crucible while the sample crucible was kept in empty, and finally the sample to be tested was put in the reference crucible while the sample crucible was still in empty. Before the measurement, the NaBH<sub>4</sub> sample was preheated to remove possible humidity that will influence the result.

The heat capacities of NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O solutions and NaBH<sub>4</sub>– NaOH–H<sub>2</sub>O solutions were measured with a calorimeter from Shimadzu, Japan. A copper block (99.7%) was first heated in water to  $80 \pm 1$  °C, and then put in solution samples at room temperature. The  $\Delta T$  after equilibration was recorded and heat capacities of solutions were then calculated. Heat capacities of NaOH solutions obtained in this work were 3.88, 3.71, 3.62 J g<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for 10, 20 and 30 wt% NaOH, respectively, which agree with the published results of 3.86, 3.71, 3.64 J g<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> correspondingly [9].

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Liquidus temperatures of the NaOH-H<sub>2</sub>O binary system

Peak temperatures during the heating process are nearer to the equilibrium states and thus suitable for the determination of transformation temperatures. Fig. 1 shows more than one peak in the heating process for some NaOH solutions. The liquidus temperature was taken as the final peak temperature, e.g. -11.55 °C for 10 wt% NaOH. Liquidus line is shown in Fig. 2. There is a eutectic transition at -32.9 °C and 20 wt% NaOH. The results in Figs. 1 and 2 are consistent with the phase diagram published in ref. [7]. As there are different crystal forms of NaOH·xH<sub>2</sub>O (x=7, 5, 4, 3.5, 2, 1) reported in ref. [7], the DSC curves for



Fig. 1. DSC curves for NaOH solutions.



Fig. 2. Liquidus line for the NaOH-H<sub>2</sub>O system determined by DSC.

some solutions showed several peaks during heating. A discussion for these phase transitions will not be elaborated here as liquidus temperatures of the solutions are our main interest in this work.

# 3.2. Liquidus temperatures of the NaBH<sub>4</sub>-NaOH-H<sub>2</sub>O ternary system

Ternary compositions can be regarded as a NaBH<sub>4</sub>-x wt% NaOH pseudo-binary system. Fig. 3 shows selected DSC curves for NaBH<sub>4</sub>-10 wt% NaOH pseudo-binary compositions. The liquidus



Fig. 3. DSC curves for the NaBH<sub>4</sub>-10 wt% NaOH solutions.



Fig. 4. Liquidus lines for NaBH<sub>4</sub>-x wt% NaOH solutions.

#### Table 1

Heat capacities of NaBH<sub>4</sub>-NaOH-H<sub>2</sub>O solutions

Solutions	Heat capacity (J g <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>
10 wt% NaOH + x wt% NaBH₄	
$10 \text{ wt\% NaOH} + 0 \text{ wt\% NaBH}_4$	3.88
10 wt% NaOH + 8.3 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.84
10 wt% NaOH + 15.3 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.78
10 wt% NaOH + 21.3 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.71
$10 \text{ wt\% NaOH} + 26.5 \text{ wt\% NaBH}_4$	3.67
20 wt% NaOH + x wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	
20 wt% NaOH + 0 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.71
20 wt% NaOH + 7.6 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.70
20 wt% NaOH + 14.1 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.68
20 wt% NaOH + 19.7 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.61
20 wt% NaOH + 24.5 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.60
30 wt% NaOH + x wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	
30 wt% NaOH + 0 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.62
30 wt% NaOH + 7.0 wt% NaBH4	3.60
30 wt% NaOH + 13.1 wt% NaBH <sub>4</sub>	3.54
$30 \text{ wt\% NaOH} + 18.4 \text{ wt\% NaBH}_4$	3.52

line is shown in Fig. 4. Liquidus temperatures are depressed by addition of  $NaBH_4$  in the 10 wt% NaOH. The liquidus line beyond the eutectic point reflects the solubility of  $NaBH_4$  in the solutions at different temperatures. The low liquidus temperatures of  $NaBH_4$ 

solutions suggest they can be stored and used in cold environments without freezing. Solubilities of NaBH<sub>4</sub> are large and thus ensure high hydrogen storage densities. From Fig. 4, liquidus temperature is the lowest at 10 wt% NaBH<sub>4</sub> in 20 wt% NaOH. The solubility of NaBH<sub>4</sub> significantly decreased with increasing NaOH concentration.

In the NaBH<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O binary system, the transition at  $36.4 \,^{\circ}$ C in Fig. 4 corresponds to transition of the solid phase from NaBH<sub>4</sub> to NaBH<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O at lower temperature in 0 wt% NaOH. [8]. Similar transitions in the liquidus lines in NaOH solutions are assumed to correspond to the transformation between NaBH<sub>4</sub> and NaBH<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O.

#### 3.3. Heat capacities

According to the differences in the plateau heights, the average heat capacity between 30 and 80 °C for solid NaBH<sub>4</sub> was obtained as  $2.95 \text{ Jg}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$  or 111.7 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>.

The measured heat capacities of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in 10–30 wt% NaOH are given in Table 1.

#### Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by National Hi-tech Research and Development Program of China (863) under the Contract Nos. 2006AA05Z120 and 2007AA05Z144.

#### References

- S.C. Amendola, S.L. Sharp-Goldman, M.S. Janjua, N.C. Spencer, M.T. Kelly, P.J. Petillo, M. Binder, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 25 (2000) 969– 975.
- [2] S. Suda, Y.M. Sun, B.H. Liu, Y. Zhou, S. Morimitsu, K. Arai, N. Tsukamoto, M. Uchida, Y. Candra, Z.P. Li, J. Appl. Phys. A 72 (2001) 209–212.
- [3] Y. Kojima, K. Suzuki, K. Fukumoto, M. Sasaki, T. Yamamoto, Y. Kawai, H. Hayashi, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 27 (2002) 1029–1034.
- [4] H. Dong, H. Yang, X. Ai, C. Cha, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 28 (2003) 1095– 1100.
- [5] S.C. Amendola, P. Onnerud, P.T. Kelly, P.J. Petillo, S.L. Sharp-Goldman, M. Binder, J. Power Sources 84 (1999) 130-133.
- [6] Z.P. Li, B.H. Liu, K. Arai, S. Suda, J. Electrochem. Soc. 150 (2003) A868– A872.
- [7] A. von-Antropoff, W. Sommer, Z. Phys. Chem. 123 (1926) 165–198.
- [8] B.D. James, M.G.H. Wallbridge, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 11 (1970) 99–231.
- [9] The Chemistry Society of Japan (Ed.), Handbook of Chemistry (Fundamentals edition), fifth ed., Maruzano, L. J. Tokyo, 2004.
- [10] C.R. Cloutier, A. Alfantazi, E. Gyenge, J. Fuel Cell Sci. Technol. 4 (2007) 88-98.