^a *College of Material Science and Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, PR China*

^b *Materials & Energy Research Institute Tokyo, Ltd., 1-1 Sawarabi-Daira, Tateshina-Chuokogen, Kitayama, Chino-shi, Nagano 391-0301, Japan*

article info

Article history: Received 2 January 2008 Received in revised form 11 March 2008 Accepted 17 March 2008 Available online 22 March 2008

Keywords:

Sodium borohydride Hydrogen source Liquidus temperature Solubility Heat capacity

1. Introduction

Sodium borohydride NaB H_4 is well known as a good reducing agent, and is attracting considerable attention as a potential hydrogen source for fuel cells due to the 10.6 wt% content of hydrogen [1-6]. NaBH₄ generates hydrogen by catalyzed hydrolysis:

$$
NaBH4 + 2H2O = NaBO2 + 4H2,
$$
\n(1)

and can be electrochemically oxidized in the direct borohydride fuel cell (DBFC):

$$
BH_4^- + 8OH^- = BO_2^- + 6H_2O + 8e
$$
 (2)

In both cases, aqueous sodium borohydride solutions are stabilized to avoid slow hydrolysis by added hydroxides, usually sodium hydroxide. Concentrations of sodium hydroxide in borohydride solutions for hydrogen generation are usually 1–10 wt%. Concentrations of sodium hydroxide in DBFC applications are usually much higher due to 8:1 OH $^−$ to BH $_4^−$ in reaction (2). Liquidus temperatures and heat capacities of solutions are important in determining their storage and working temperatures. The solubility of NaBH $_4$ in alkaline solutions, which can be deduced from the liquidus line, determines the maximum hydrogen densities in these solutions. The hydrolysis reaction is an exother[mic](#page-2-0) [re](#page-2-0)action with a large heat effect, so heat capacities of borohydride solutions are also important information for applications. However,

ABSTRACT

Alkaline sodium borohydride solutions are potential hydrogen sources for fuel cells. the NaBH₄–NaOH–H₂O ternary system were measured by DSC and temperature-rise temperatures showed that it is possible to store and use the solutions well below 0 tion is less than 20 wt%. The solubility of sodium borohydride was found to be large decreased with increasing NaOH concentration. The optimum composition for alka tions seems to be 15 wt% NaBH₄ in 10 wt% NaOH considering both the liquidus temp storage density.

© 2008 Elsevier B

there is little information available on these p dride solutions [10], especially for the NaBH₄system. Therefore, we investigated liquidus t NaBH₄–NaOH–H₂O system and measured he $NaBH₄$ by DSC. Heat capacities of the solution temperature-rise calorimetry.

2. Experimental

Sodium borohydride was product of Rome U.S.A. with a purity of 97%. Sodium hydroxid available A.R. grade. Borohydride solutions we solving NaBH $_4$ in previously prepared NaOH so

DSC was done on Shimadzu DSC60. Alun used both for samples and references. α -Alun purity of more than 99.5% was used as the refe ing DSC measurements, the alumina crucibles small nickel plates to avoid vaporization of t gen gas flowed at a rate of 50 ml min⁻¹ in t nitrogen was used as the cooling medium for low surements. At each measurement, the sample −100 °C at 5 K min^{−1} and then heated at 5 K r were 10–20 mg.

The DSC baseline usually started to deviate ture went above room temperature due to inc of solution samples. Using sealed sample cells d problem. To compensate, visual observations of during sample heating were used to correct the room temperature.

[∗] Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 571 87951770; fax: +86 571 87951770. *E-mail address:* liubh@zju.edu.cn (B.H. Liu).

^{0040-6031/\$ –} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tca.2008.03.009

NaOH–H2O solutions were measured with a calorimeter from Shimadzu, Japan. A copper block (99.7%) was first heated in water to 80 ± 1 °C, and then put in solution samples at room temperature. The ΔT after equilibration was recorded and heat capacities of solutions were then calculated. Heat capacities of NaOH solutions obtained in this work were 3.88, 3.71, 3.62 J g^{-1} K⁻¹ for 10, 20 and 30 wt% NaOH, respectively, which agree with the published results of 3.86, 3.71, 3.64 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹ correspondingly [9].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Liquidus temperatures of the NaOH–H2O binary system

Peak temperatures during the heating process are nearer to the equilibrium states and thus suitable for the determination of transformation temperatures. Fig. 1 shows more than one peak in the heating process for some NaOH solutions. The liquidus temperature was taken as the final peak temperature, e.g. −11.55 °C for 10 wt% NaOH. Liquidus line is shown in Fig. 2. There is a eutectic transition at −32.9 ◦C and 20 wt% NaOH. The results in Figs. 1 and 2 are consistent with the phase diagram published in ref. [7]. As there are different crystal forms of NaOH·xH₂O (*x* = 7, 5, 4, 3.5, 2, 1) reported in ref. [7], the DSC curves for

Fig. 1. DSC curves for NaOH solutions.

Fig. 2. Liquidus line for the NaOH–H₂O system determined by DSC.

some solutions showed several peaks during h sion for these phase transitions will not be el liquidus temperatures of the solutions are our ma work.

3.2. Liquidus temperatures of the NaBH₄-NaOH-H *system*

Ternary compositions can be regarded as a Na pseudo-binary system. Fig. 3 shows selected NaBH₄–10 wt% NaOH pseudo-binary compositions.

Fig. 3. DSC curves for the NaBH₄-10 wt% NaOH s

Fig. 4. Liquidus lines for NaBH₄-*x* wt% NaOH solutions.

Table 1

Heat capacities of NaBH4–NaOH–H2O solutions

line is shown in Fig. 4. Liquidus temperatures are depressed by addition of NaBH₄ in the 10 wt% NaOH. The liquidus line beyond the eutectic point reflects the solubility of N aBH₄ in the solutions at different temperatures. The low liquidus temperatures of NaBH4

According to the differences in the plateau heat capacity between 30 and 80 °C for solid N as 2.95 J g⁻¹ K⁻¹ or 111.7 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹.

The measured heat capacities of NaBH $_4$ in 1 given in Table 1.

Acknowledgement

This work was partially supported by Nation and Development Program of China (863) und 2006AA05Z120 and 2007AA05Z144.

References

- [1] S.C. Amendola, S.L. Sharp-Goldman, M.S. Janju Kelly, P.J. Petillo, M. Binder, Int. J. Hydrogen E 975.
- [2] S. Suda, Y.M. Sun, B.H. Liu, Y. Zhou, S. Morimitsu, K. Uchida, Y. Candra, Z.P. Li, J. Appl. Phys. A 72 (2001) 20
- [3] Y. Kojima, K. Suzuki, K. Fukumoto, M. Sasaki, T. Yaman Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 27 (2002) 1029–1034.
- [4] H. Dong, H. Yang, X. Ai, C. Cha, Int. J. Hydrogen I 1100.
- [5] S.C. Amendola, P. Onnerud, P.T. Kelly, P.J. Petillo, S.L. Sharp-J. Power Sources 84 (1999) 130–133.
- [6] Z.P. Li, B.H. Liu, K. Arai, S. Suda, J. Electrochem. A872.
- [7] A. von-Antropoff, W. Sommer, Z. Phys. Chem. 123 (19)
- [8] B.D. James, M.G.H. Wallbridge, Prog. Inorg. Chem. 11 (
- [9] The Chemistry Society of Japan (Ed.), Handbook of C
- edition), fifth ed., Maruzen Co., Ltd, Tokyo, 2004. [10] C.R. Cloutier, A. Alfantazi, E. Gyenge, J. Fuel Cell Sc 98.